

# Notes on “A Theory of Job Shopping”

Johnson, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 92, No. 2.

May, 1978, pp. 261-278

James J. Heckman  
University of Chicago

Econ 350, Winter 2023

- This is a prototype for (and more general than) the Jovanovic model.

$$Y_1 = a_1 + b_1\theta + U_1, \quad b_1 \geq 0$$

$$Y_2 = a_2 + b_2\theta + U_2, \quad b_2 \geq 0$$

- Key feature: has no investment beyond job shopping.
- Place more weight on  $\theta$  in the earnings of occupation 2:

$$b_2 > b_1$$

- $\theta$  receives a greater return in occupation 2.

$$E(U_1) = E(U_2) = E(\theta) = 0 \quad (U_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp U_2).$$

- In either job, workers can learn about  $\theta$ :

$$\theta \perp\!\!\!\perp U_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp U_2$$

- Why do people leave jobs? — Two sources:
  - ① Bad luck (low  $U_i$ ).
  - ② The more the worker learns about  $\theta$ , the more likely he/she is to go to sectors that weight  $\theta$  more highly if he/she has a high  $\theta$ .
- Major Conclusion: Under uncertainty about productivity of matches, and own ability, its optimal to start in an occupation with high variance.
- But this is variance in true income not measurement error.

- Assume a two period model with no cost of mobility.
- An income maximizing person who starts in 1 will move to 2 if

$$E(Y_2 | Y_1) > Y_1.$$

- From normal theory we have that

$$E(\theta | Y_1) = \beta(Y_1 - a_1)$$

$$E(Y_2 | Y_1) = a_2 + \beta b_2(Y_1 - a_1),$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{b_1 \sigma_\theta^2}{b_1^2 \sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_{U_1}^2}.$$

- A worker starting in state 1 changes jobs if

$$Y_1 < a_2 + \beta b_2(Y_1 - a_1).$$

- $Y_1(1 - \beta b_2) < a_2 - \beta b_2 a_1$
- To fix ideas, let  $a_1 = a_2 = a$  (same mean income in all jobs).
- $E(Y_2|Y_1) > Y_1$  requires:

$$Y_1(1 - \beta b_2) < a(1 - \beta b_2)$$

- Condition for mobility out of occupation 1 for a person who starts there.
- Consider two cases: ( $\beta b_2 > 1$ ;  $\beta b_2 < 1$ )
- With  $\beta b_2 = 1$  worker indifferent.
- First let  $\beta b_2 > 1$ . Then worker leaves 1 having started there if  $Y_1 > a$ .
- Person above average in 1.
- Intuition:

$$\beta b_2 = \frac{b_2 b_1 \sigma_\theta^2}{b_1^2 \sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_{U_1}^2}$$

- $\beta b_2 > 1 \Rightarrow b_2 > b_1$  (only way possible)
- Goes to the occupation where the reward to  $\theta$  is higher.

- Second Case: If  $\beta b_2 < 1$  and  $Y_1 < a$ , the person leaves occupation 1.
- At issue is the question of whether one would ever observe a person starting in 1 changing occupations.
- *Optimal Sequence Problem*. Which job first?
- Learning occurs about both general and specific skills:
  - ① Learning about general skill ( $\theta$ ), and acting on it in terms of its value in each sector.
  - ② Learning about occupation-specific skills ( $U_1$  and  $U_2$ ).
- Miller (1984) and Jovanovic (1979) ignore  $\theta$  and focus on learning about the occupation-specific components.

## Define the comparable expressions for starting in 2

- Define  $\gamma = b_2\sigma_\theta^2/\sigma_2^2$ .

$$b_1\gamma = \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_2^2} = \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{b_2^2 \sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_{U_2}^2}$$

- Assume  $b_2\beta > 1$ . This implies:

1

$$b_2 > b_1 \text{ (as before)}$$

2

$$\therefore b_1\gamma < 1$$

3

$$\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2$$

since

$$\frac{\beta b_2}{\gamma b_1} = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} > 1$$

$$\therefore \sigma_2^2 > \sigma_1^2.$$



$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_{U_1}^2 + b_1^2 \sigma_\theta^2$$

$$\sigma_2^2 = \sigma_{U_2}^2 + b_2^2 \sigma_\theta^2$$

$$b_1 \gamma = \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_2^2}$$

$$b_2 \beta = \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1^2}$$

## Start in Sector 1 ( $\beta b_2 > 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} E(V_1) &= E(Y_1) + \Pr(Y_1 < a)E(Y_1|Y_1 \leq a) + \Pr(Y_1 > a)E(Y_2|Y_1 > a) \\ &= \underbrace{a}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{1st} \\ \text{period} \\ \text{mean}}} + \underbrace{\Pr(Y_1 < a)E(Y_1 | Y_1 \leq a)}_{=1/2} + \underbrace{\Pr(Y_1 > a)E(Y_2 | Y_1 > a)}_{=1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Define  $t$  as the standard normal random variable.

$$\begin{aligned} E(V_1) &= a + \frac{1}{2} \left[ a + \underbrace{\sigma_1 \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{te^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dt}_{= -\frac{\sigma_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ a + \beta b_2 \frac{\sigma_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] \\ &= 2a + \underbrace{\frac{(\beta b_2 - 1)\sigma_1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}}_{\substack{\text{Arises from} \\ \text{option value}}} \end{aligned}$$

## Start in Sector 2 (Recall $\beta b_2 > 1$ )

$$E(V_2) = a + \Pr(Y_2 > a)E(Y_2 \mid Y_2 \geq a) \\ + \Pr(Y_2 < a)E(Y_1 \mid Y_2 \leq a)$$

$$E(Y_1 \mid Y_2) = a + \frac{\text{Cov}(Y_2, Y_1)}{\text{Var}(Y_2)}(Y_2 - a) \\ = a + \frac{(b_1 b_2)\sigma_\theta^2}{b_2^2\sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_2^2}(Y_2 - a) \\ = a + b_1\gamma(Y_2 - a)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{b_2\sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_2^2}$$

$$\beta = \frac{b_1\sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(V_2) &= a + \frac{1}{2} \left[ a + \frac{\sigma_2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ a - b_1 \gamma \left( \frac{\sigma_2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \right] \\
 &= 2a + \underbrace{\left[ \frac{1 - b_1 \gamma}{2} \right] \frac{\sigma_2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}}_{\text{option value}}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore,

$$E(V_1) - E(V_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(\beta b_2 - 1)\sigma_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{(b_1 \gamma - 1)\sigma_2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right].$$

- If  $\beta b_2 > 1$  and therefore  $b_1 \gamma < 1$ :

$$E(V_2) - E(V_1) > 0$$

- Why?:  $E(V_2) - E(V_1) > 0$  requires:  
(substitute for  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in previous expression.)

$$0 > \left[ \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 1 \right] \sigma_1 + \left[ \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_2^2} - 1 \right] \sigma_2$$

$$0 > \frac{[b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2 - \sigma_1^2]}{\sigma_1} + \frac{[b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2 - \sigma_2^2]}{\sigma_2}$$

$$0 < \frac{\sigma_1^2 - b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2 - b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_2}$$

$$0 < (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) - (b_1 b_2) \sigma_\theta^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_1} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \right]$$

$$0 < (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) - \frac{(b_1 b_2) \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} [\sigma_2 + \sigma_1]$$

$$0 < 1 - \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}$$

- Therefore, if

$$\frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} < 1,$$

always optimal to start with 2.

- Because

$$\frac{\overbrace{b_1 \sigma_\theta}^{\text{correl}(Y_1, Y_2)} \overbrace{b_2 \sigma_\theta}^{\text{correl}(Y_1, Y_2)}}{\sqrt{b_1^2 \sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_{U_1}^2} \sqrt{b_2^2 \sigma_\theta^2 + \sigma_{U_2}^2}} < 1$$

is always true, agents start high variance occupations (Occupation 2), which are high expected value occupations.

- Therefore you go to the occupation with higher variance.
- If  $b_1 \gamma > 1$ , agent goes to occupation 1 because  $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$ .

- Suppose next that  $b_1\gamma < 1$  and  $b_2\beta < 1$  (now we get the “intuitive” kind of selection).
- Then the difference in value functions is

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(V_1) - E(V_2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ (1 - b_2\beta)\sigma_1 - (1 - b_1\gamma)\sigma_2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \sigma_1 - \left( 1 - \frac{b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) \sigma_2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2 - b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_1} \right) - \left( \frac{\sigma_2^2 - b_1 b_2 \sigma_\theta^2}{\sigma_2} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) + (b_1 b_2) \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \right] \right].
 \end{aligned}$$



- Therefore

$$\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 \Rightarrow E(V_1) > E(V_2)$$

$$\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 \Rightarrow E(V_2) < E(V_1).$$

- We have proved the following propositions:
  - ① Workers start out their lives in high variance occupations.
  - ② Workers *always* move from jobs with below average earnings.
    - Moving when  $Y_1 > a$  requires  $b_2\beta_2 > 1$ ,  $b_1\gamma < 1$ , and thus  $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ .
    - But then, a worker would never start out in occupation 1.
    - Thus the optimal sequence begins with job 2 and the mobility condition is  $Y_2 < a$ .
  - ③ Dispersion in period 2 decreases compared to period 1 (earnings variance is decreasing over time as people learn; this is a normal selection result).
  - ④ The mix of  $\sigma_i^2$  into  $\sigma_{U_i}^2$  and  $b_i^2\sigma_\theta^2$  does not affect the decision to go to high variance occupation first.