# Excerpt from "The Economics of Parenting"

Matthias Doepke, Giuseppe Sorrenti, Fabrizio Zilibotti

March 2, 2021

▶ parents leisure is given by  $L_t = 1 - X_t$ , which implies utility is given by

$$-\mathsf{X}_1 + Z((1-\gamma)\mathsf{v} + \gamma\tilde{\mathsf{v}})$$

where

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{l}_2 + \beta \mathbf{V}'}{\mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2} = \mathbf{l}_2 + \beta \mathbf{V}'$$

Skill formation is given by

$$h_{2} = f_{h,1}(S, d_{1}) a_{2} = f_{a,1}(S, X_{1}, d_{1})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- In the second period (adolescence), adult skills are formed. At this stage, parents make no further direct investment and the ball is in the child's court
- The child skills formation technology is given by

 $H' = f_{h,2}(S, h_2, d_2, x_{h,2})$ 

Children chose occupation k, which is defined by by a triplet  $\{\bar{x}_k, \bar{w}_k, \psi_k\}$ . Where  $\bar{x}_k$  is the time required to learn the occupation,  $w_k$  is the basic wage and  $\phi_k$  is the return to human captial.

Child potential income is given by

$$\left[ \widehat{\psi}_{k} H' w_{H} + \left( 1 - \psi_{k} H' w_{L} \right) \right]$$

• Where  $\xi_k$  is the child "productivity shock"

- The talent shock is realized in the second (adolescence) period, before the child decides on x<sub>2</sub> (so that the child does not face uncertainty), but after the parent's choice of X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> in the first period
- The setup captures that in some occupations, success hinges primarily on the child's talent and intrinsic motivation
- The child at the second period chooses

$$\max_{x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2} (a_2) (1 - (x_{h,2} + \overline{x}_k)) + \underline{zV'}$$

where V' is the adult earnings, as a function of the adult skill level

- Now consider how economic conditions affect the choice of parenting style.
- Consider a simplified model in which parents can choose e between the three parenting styles: permissive (PE), authoritative (AV), and authoritarian (AR)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● のへで

- The permissive parent PE does not interfere with the child's preferences, so that a<sub>2</sub> > 1 and the child gets full enjoyment of adolescence, and also does not restrict the choice of occupation.
- The authoritative parent does not restrict the child's choice set, but molds her preferences. Specifically, this parent socializes the child into adult-like preferences,  $a_2 = 1$ . This "indoctrination" reduces in the child's enjoyment of adolescence while inducing her to make more forward-looking choices  $x_2$ , to the extent that the child ends up choosing just what her parent would like her to. Indoctrinating the child requires effort  $X_{AV}(S(d_1) > 0)$ , which is decreasing in both the skill of the parent and the quality of the neighborhood. This assumption reflects that better-educated parents possess superior soft skills to persuade their children.

- he authoritarian parent AR forces the child into a particular occupation (i.e., the choice set X given to the child only includes the occupation k preferred by the parent). Authoritarian parents also impose control on the child's effort choice x<sub>h,2</sub>. Imposing these restrictions requires costly monitoring and on the part of the parent, which is captured by a positive effort cost X<sub>AR</sub> > 0
- Depending on the parent's skill and the neighborhood, X<sub>AR</sub> may be larger or smaller than the cost of the authoritative style.
- A downside of the authoritarian style is that the parent locks the child into a particular occupation before the child's occupation-specific talent shock is realized.

- Consider, first, a low inequality society, where the gap between w<sub>H</sub> and w<sub>L</sub> is small. In such a society, there is limited incentive for children to put effort x<sub>h,2</sub> into education. Parents are also less concerned about children's effort, and thus there is little scope for disagreement between parents and children. Therefore, most parents adopt a permissive parenting style
- Consider, a high-inequality society. There, the disagreement between parents and children is more salient, as parents want their children choose professions with a high return to human capital. In this society, a larger share of parents will be authoritative.
- Moreover, because of the comparative advantage of rich and educated parents in authoritative parenting, there will be a stronger socioeconomic sorting into parenting styles. Since an authoritative parenting style is conducive to more economic success, this sorting will hamper social mobility