

Econometric Estimators as Weighting Schemes

by James J. Heckman, Robert J. LaLonde and Jeffrey Smith
From Section 7 of *Handbook of Labor Economics*, 1999

James J. Heckman



Econ 312, Spring 2023

- Matching

$$(Y_0, Y_1) \perp\!\!\!\perp D | X \quad (\text{A-1})$$

- “ $\perp\!\!\!\perp$ ” denote independence.

$$F(Y_0 | D = 1, X) = F(Y_0 | D = 0, X) = F(Y_0 | X)$$

and

$$F(Y_1 | D = 1, X) = F(Y_1 | D = 0, X) = F(Y_1 | X)$$

$$0 < Pr(D = 1|X) < 1 \quad (A-1)$$

- Assumptions A-1 and A-2 imply that

$$E(Y_0|D = 1, X) = E(Y_0|D = 0, X).$$

- In addition,

$$E(Y_1|D = 1, X) = E(Y_1|D = 0, X). \\ E(U_0|D = 1, X) = E(U_0|D = 0, X) = E(U_0|X).$$

- Assumptions A-1 and A-2 imply that

$$E(Y_0|D = 1, X) = E(Y_0|D = 0, X).$$

- In addition,

$$E(Y_1|D = 1, X) = E(Y_1|D = 0, X).$$
$$E(U_0|D = 1, X) = E(U_0|D = 0, X) = E(U_0|X).$$

- If A-1 and A-2 are true, it is possible to construct both the “treatment on the treated” parameter

$$E(Y_1 - Y_0|X, D = 1)$$

and the effect of “nontreatment on the nontreated” parameter using the same data.

$$E(Y_0 - Y_1|X, D = 0).$$

- In fact, TOT=TUT, MTE is flat. Will show in next lecture.

$$Y = Y_0 + D(Y_1 - Y_0)$$

$$E(Y|X) = E(Y_0|X) + E(Y_1 - Y_0|X, D = 1)D$$

by matching

$$E(Y_1 - Y_0|X, D = 1) = E(Y_1 - Y_0|X)$$

$$\therefore E(Y|X) = E(Y_0|X) + D E(Y_1 - Y_0|X)$$

- Observe no exclusion restriction needed.

- Under exogeneity for X and $E(U_0) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} E(U_0|X, D = 1) &= E(U_0|X, D = 0) \\ &= E(U_0|X) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- Also under exogeneity and $E(U_1) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} E(U_1|X, D = 1) &= E(U_1|X, D = 1) \\ &= E(U_1|X) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$E(Y_1 - Y_0|X, D = 1) = E(Y_1 - Y_0|X).$$

- **But exogeneity not required**

How to Construct Matches

- Matches constructed on the basis of a neighborhood C_i around X_i .
- $C(X_i)$ defines the neighborhood.
- Let X_i be a vector of characteristics for person i .
- Thus, the persons in sample C who are neighbors to i are persons j , for whom $X_j \in C(X_i)$ i.e., it is the set of persons A_i for whom.

$$A_i = \{j | X_j \in C_i\}$$

- Let $W(i, j)$ be a weight.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_{ij}} W(i, j) = 1$$

$$\bar{Y}_i^C = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{ij}} W(i, j) Y_j^C$$

- Estimated treatment effect for person i is $Y_i - \bar{Y}_i^C$.

- **Nearest-neighbor matching estimator** defines A_i

$$A_i = \{j | \text{Min } \| X_i - X_j \| \}$$
$$j \in \{1, \dots, N_c\}$$

where “ $\| \ \|$ ” is a metric.

- The weighting scheme for the nearest-neighbor estimator is

$$W(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in A_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- “Caliper” matching adds a “closeness” requirement:

$$\| X_i - X_j \| < \varepsilon$$

- The overall mean difference is the treatment effect:

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} (Y_i^t - \bar{Y}_i^C) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} (Y_i^t - \sum_{j=1}^{N_C} W(i,j) Y_j^C) \end{aligned}$$

- **Kernel matching** $A_i = \{1, \dots, N_C\}$

$$W(i, j) = \frac{K(X_j - X_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_C} K(X_j - X_i)}$$

- K is a kernel

- Mahalanobis Metric:

$$||| = (X_i - X_j)' \sum_C^{-1} (X_i - X_j).$$

Regression-adjusted matching

- Heckman, Ichimura and Todd (1997, 1998)

$$A(Y_i) = Y_i - X_i\beta$$

- Rosenbaum and Rubin (1983),

$$\begin{aligned}(Y_1, Y_0) &\perp\!\!\!\perp D|P(X), \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{C} \\ B(P(X)) &= E(Y_0|P(X), D = 1) - E(Y_0|P(X), D = 0) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

- Can construct counterfactual

$$E(Y_0|P(X), D = 1)$$

- Matching is sometimes used to estimate $E(Y_1 - Y_0|X, D = 1)$ at points of $X = x$.

- An averaged version

$$E(Y_1 - Y_0|D = 1) = \frac{\int_{S(X)} E(Y_1 - Y_0|D = 1, X) dF(X|D = 1)}{\int_{S(X)} dF(X|D = 1)}.$$

- $S(X)$ is common support of X for $D=1$ and $D=0$ samples

Instrumental Variable Estimator as Matching-Comparison Group Estimator

$$Y = \beta(X) + \alpha(X)D + U$$

$$E(Y|X, Z) = \beta(X) + E(\alpha(X)|X, D = 1)E(D|X, Z) + E(U|X, Z)$$

$$Y = \beta + E(\alpha(X)|X, D = 1)E(D|X, Z) + (U + \alpha W)$$

where $D = E(D|Z) + W$

$$E(\alpha(X)|X, Z, D = 1) = E(\alpha(X)|X, XD = 1) \\ E(D|X, Z) \neq E(D|X, Z').$$

$$\frac{Y_i - Y_{i'}}{E(D_i|X, Z_i) - E(D_{i'}|X, Z_{i'})}$$

$$E \left[\frac{Y_i - Y_{i'}}{E(D_i|X, Z_i) - E(D_{i'}|X, Z_{i'})} \right] = E(\alpha(X)|X, D = 1)$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \sum_{ij} \left[\frac{Y_i - Y_{i'}}{E(D_i|X, Z_i) - E(D_{i'}|X, Z_{i'})} \right] W(i, i')$$

$$W(i, i') = \frac{\left(E(D_i|Z_i) - E(D_{i'}|Z_{i'}) \right)^2}{\sum_{i,i'} \left(E(D_i|Z_i) - E(D_{i'}|Z_{i'}) \right)^2}$$

$$\frac{Y_i - Y_{i'}}{E(D_i|X, Z_i) - E(D_{i'}|X, Z_{i'})} = 0, \text{ if denominator zero.}$$

- The IV method does not eliminate conventional econometric exogeneity bias – it just balances the bias.
- By the same token, OLS is a matching estimator (see notes on Theil weights).

Panel Data Estimators as Matching Estimators (Difference in Differences Estimators)

- Consider an intervention in period k .
- For person i at time $t > k$ (k is the program participation period).
- Assume a stationary environment.

Fixed Effect

- We match $Y_{0,i,t'}, t' < k$.

$$Y_{1,i,t} - W(i, t')Y_{0,i,t'} \quad t' < k$$

where $W(i, t') = 1$.

General Form

$$Y_{0,i,t}^c = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i,j) Y_{0,i,j}, \quad j < k$$

where $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i,j) = 1$.

- $t = k + 1, \dots, T$, the summed comparison group-controls are

$$\sum_{t=k+1}^T [(Y_{1,i,t} - Y_{i,t}^c)] \phi(i, t), \quad \sum_{t=k+1}^T \phi(i, t) = 1$$

More Generally

$$\sum_{t=k+1}^T (\alpha(i, t)Y_{1,i,t} - \beta(i, t)Y_{i,t}^c)$$

$$\sum_{t=k+1}^T \alpha(i, t) = 1$$

and

$$\sum_{t=k+1}^T \alpha(i, t) = \sum_{t=k+1}^T \beta(i, t) \quad \text{for all } i$$

$$Y_{0,i',t} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} W(i', j)Y_{0,i',j} \quad t > k > j$$

More Generally

where

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i', j) = 1$$
$$\left[Y_{1,i,t} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i, j) Y_{0,i,j} \right] - \left[Y_{0,i,t} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i', j) Y_{0,i',j} \right]$$

and $W(i, j) = W(i', j)$ for (i, i') and all j and

$$\sum_i W(i, j) = 1, \quad \sum_{i'} W(i', j) = 1$$

- This eliminates common trends.

$$\left[Y_{1,i,j} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i,j) Y_{i,j}^0 \right] - \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{i'=1}^{N_c} \left[Y_{0,i',t} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i',j) Y_{0,i',j} \right] \varphi(i')$$

- $N^c = \#$ in control group.

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i'=1}^{N_c} \varphi(i')$$
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i'=1}^{N_c} W(i', j) \varphi(i') = W(i, j).$$

- This eliminates age-or-period-specific common trends or year effects. We can form variance weighted versions.
- The same scheme can be used to estimate models with person-specific, time varying variables. Let $A_{it}(Y_{it})$ be an “adjustment” to Y_{it} .
- An example is

$$A_{it}(Y_{it}) = Y_{it} - X_{it}\beta$$

or for more general models we may write

$$A_{it}(Y_{it}) = Y_{it} - g(X_{it}).$$

- Then the comparison group for person i can be written as
- $A_{it}^c(Y_i, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i, j) A_{jt}(Y_{0,i,j})$

$$A_{it}(Y_{1,it}) - A_{it}^c(Y_{it}) = \text{estimator.}$$

Similar Modification to Differences in Differences

$$\left[A_{it}(Y_1, i, t) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i, j) A_{jt}(Y_0, j, t) \right] - \left[A_{i',t}(Y_0, i', t) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} W(i', j) A_{i',j}(Y_0, i', j) \right].$$